Indications for Urine Culture

The Ontario Association of Medical Laboratories has developed this document to provide practitioners with a guideline for the use of urine culture. The guidelines represent the consensus thinking of a panel of experts in the field. Guidelines are, by their nature, general in focus and cannot apply in every clinical situation. They do not serve as a substitute for sound clinical judgement. These guidelines are appropriate at the time of writing and are applicable in most clinical situations.

Background:

Semiquantitative urine culture (urine Culture & Sensitivity also referred to as C&S) is the most commonly performed bacteriological test. More than 70% of urine C & S specimens obtained in a community setting yield no growth or growth of doubtful significance.

Objective:

It is the purpose of this guideline to:

?? Provide a framework for ordering a urine culture;
?? Suggest an alternative screening test to avoid unnecessary and costly urine cultures
?? Review preparation and collection criteria in order to optimize test results.

Critical Criteria for Selection of Patients for Testing:

1. Current Canadian and US guidelines do not recommend urine culture as part of screening periodic health examinations of asymptomatic infants, children \(^{(1)}\) or the elderly \(^{(2)}\).

2. Some guidelines recommend that urine culture is unnecessary if short-term therapy has been prescribed for uncomplicated UTI in female patients \(^{(3,4)}\).

3. Urine Culture should be ordered once, during the first trimester, for asymptomatic pregnant women

4. Urine culture should be ordered for patients with symptoms and risk factors for urinary tract infection. Clinical judgement should be exercised in ordering urine cultures for asymptomatic individuals with one or more risk factors. The risk factors are listed in the following table.
TABLE 1: Risk factors for Urinary Tract Infection

| Previous leukocyte esterase and or nitrates in urine | Established renal disease |
| Recurrent urinary tract infections | Renal transplant |
| Pregnancy | Genitourinary problems (nephrolithiasis, bladder calculi, congenital or developmental anatomic abnormalities) |
| Less than 3 years of age | Treatment failure for uncomplicated cystitis |
| Diabetes | |
| Recent urological surgery/cystoscopy | |
| Neurogenic bladder | |

Screening for Significant Bacteriuria

When in doubt, chemical urinalysis including leucocyte esterase and nitrite can help to rule out the need for urine culture. This may be carried out in a physician’s office providing that the instrument required to read the strip is available. A recent Chedoke-McMaster Hospital study of 1900 inpatient and outpatient urine specimens from patients over 3 years of age confirmed that significant bacteriuria is accompanied by a positive Chemstrip test for one or more of nitrite, leukocyte esterase, or protein in 76% of the urine samples sent for culture (5).

Urinalysis that is negative for nitrite, leucocyte esterase and protein reduces the probability of significant bacteriuria. High glucose concentrations may interfere with the leucocyte esterase assay, therefore, samples with glucose greater than 55 mmol/L should be cultured. Urinalysis will provide a rapid result when the need for urine culture is in doubt. Because the human eye cannot distinguish subtle colour changes reliably, the chemical urinalysis tests (dipstick) must be read by an appropriate instrument (6).

Recommendations:

1. It is **NOT** appropriate to use urine culture as a screening test in the general population.

2. It is a reasonable clinical practice **NOT** to culture urine in women with infrequent episodes of “classical” cystitis in which antibacterials will be administered on clinical grounds. (*It should be noted that clinical response to a drug would not necessarily relate to the standard antibiogram because the drug is often concentrated in the urine to more than 50 times its tissue level.*)

   In the environment of reducing the emergence of multiple resistant organisms, this phenomenon suggests that traditional older drugs such as the penicillins and sulfonamides are usually fully effective.

3. In cases with less obvious symptomatology such as mild intermittent dysuria, one might choose to screen for the presence of nitrite, protein, or leukocyte esterase in a urinalysis before proceeding to culture.
4. In all instances, a properly collected specimen, including instruction in cleansing and mid-stream passage will provide more meaningful and accurate results. Specimens should be immediately stored on ice or refrigerated and sent for analysis as soon as possible. If delays are expected, the use urine stabilizers will reduce the occurrence of erroneous results. Bagged urine specimens from infants are not reliable for urine culture but can be used for urinalysis.

Conclusion:

Urine culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing is a costly and time-consuming procedure. It should be ordered thoughtfully.
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