

Clinical Guideline

Laparoscopic Assisted Vaginal Hysterectomy

By Dr. CJ Tseng

Laparoscopic assisted vaginal hysterectomy is increasingly becoming popular. Many women come to the doctor and say they want a "laser" hysterectomy. What they usually mean is a laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy or LAVH. Laparoscopically Assisted Vaginal Hysterectomy (LAVH) is a procedure using laparoscopic surgical techniques and instruments to remove the uterus and/or tubes and ovaries through the vagina. The technique used to use lasers but now lasers have been mostly replaced by surgical clips, cautery or suturing. First lap hysterectomy was done by Reich et al in 1989. It's really a technique made to replace abdominal hysterectomy.

Indications of LAVH:

“Indications of LAVH are traditionally contraindications of vaginal hysterectomy”

Indications are:

- o Previous pelvic surgery
- o Endometriosis
- o Previous C.S.
- o Pelvic pain
- o Suspected adnexal pathology
- o Uterine myoma
- o Ectopic pregnancy
- o Acute or chronic pelvic inflammatory disease
- o Minimum uterine mobility and limited vaginal access

If a vaginal hysterectomy can be performed in the first place, there would be no point in adding the costs and complications of laparoscopy. Its greatest benefit is the potential to convert what would have been an abdominal hysterectomy into a vaginal hysterectomy. An abdominal hysterectomy requires both a vaginal incision and a four to six inch long incision in the abdomen, which is associated with greater post-operative discomfort and a longer recovery period than for a vaginal procedure. Another advantage of the LAVH may be the removal of the tubes and ovaries which on

occasion may not be easily removed with a vaginal hysterectomy.

The most common medical reasons for performing hysterectomies include uterine fibroids (30 percent of cases), abnormal uterine bleeding (20 percent), endometriosis (20 percent), genital prolapse (15 percent), and chronic pelvic pain (about 10 percent). For most of these conditions, other treatments should first be considered, and hysterectomy should be reserved as a last resort.

LAVH result in a significantly shorter hospital stay, with a much more rapid return to normal activities, than TAH. The drug requirement to control pain and the level of pain patients experienced were also significantly less. Blood loss was not different for the two procedures.

TABLE 1 Postoperative Pain Levels

Day	LAVH (n = 19)	TAH (n= 19)	p ^a
1	6.6	6.4	NS
3	4.4	4.3	NS
7	2.8	3.6	S
14	1.6	2.4	S
21	1.46	1.8	S
Week 6	1.35	1.4	NS

Wilcoxon's signed rank test.

Ten-point activity scale: 1 = no pain, 10 = unbearable pain.

S = significant at $p < 0.005$; NS = not significant at $p < 0.01$

TABLE 2 Postoperative Activity Levels

Day	LAVH (n = 19)	TAH (n= 19)	p ^a
1	3.4	3.3	NS
3	5.4	4.4	NS
7	7.8	5.8	S
14	9.2	6.4	S
21	9.6	7.9	S

Week 6	9.95	8.5	S
--------	------	-----	---

Wilcoxon's signed rank test.

Ten-point activity scale: 1 = extremely limited activity, 10 = no limits on activity

S = significant at $p < 0.005$; NS = not significant at $p < 0.01$

Postoperative recovery times and pain levels were assessed in 37 patients with a primary complaint of pelvic pain and diagnoses of fibroid uterus, adenomyosis, and severe endometriosis who underwent LAVH. Women reported an activity level of 8.7 on a scale of 1 to 10 (10 no limits on activity) by postoperative day 14. In another study those undergoing abdominal hysterectomy had a mean uterine weight of 418 g compared with 150 g for those undergoing LAVH. The hospital stay after abdominal hysterectomy was 4.5 days and after LAVH 2.5 days. An important public policy issue now confronts us. As it is currently performed, LAVH is more expensive than TAH. The issue is whether the benefits of shorter convalescence and faster return to the work force, shorter hospitalization, and less need for narcotics for postoperative pain outweigh the disadvantage of the higher cost. If total health care system costs are evaluated, the short-term disability costs of 2 weeks of recovery after laparoscopic hysterectomy should be compared with disability costs of 6 to 8 weeks of recovery after abdominal hysterectomy.

For LAVH to be economically viable compared with TAH, savings in disability costs and the increased contribution to the gross domestic product must offset the increased health care costs. In the current system, insurance companies and hospitals do not share in these benefits, only the costs. The economic impact of laparoscopic surgery must take into account both the cost to the hospital and insurance payers and these productivity and social issues. Insurance is based on a risk pool whereby the cost of a premium is based on the cost of treatment, not the ability of the subscriber to return to work. An economic and social cost-benefit analysis must be performed before decisions are made to modify or judge a procedure that provides substantial benefits to the patient.

Since its introduction in 1989, continued improvement of techniques will likely progress rapidly so that LAVH will be performed on an outpatient basis for many women, and will result in shorter recovery time.¹³ thus the increased operating room time of approximately 46 minutes is significantly outweighed by the benefits available with widespread application of this procedure.

Classification:

Garry and Reich classification:


- o Type 1 - diagnostic lap + VH
- o Type 2 - lap vault suspension after VH
- o Type 3 - LAVH
- o Type 4 - LH (lap ligation of uterine art.)
- o Type 5 - TLH
- o Type 6 - LSH (lap supra-cervical hysterectomy)
- o Type 7 - LHL (lap hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy)
- o Type 8 - LHL + O (as above + omentectomy)
- o Type 9 - RLH (radical lap hysterectomy)

Preoperative measures:

- o Catheterization
- o Bowel preparation
- o Routine Anaesthetic and Medical checkups
- o Peglac powder - 1 sachet with water a night prior


Patient position:

Steep trendelenberg with lithotomy position for vaginal part.



Per Vaginal Examination should be routine

Position of surgical team




Port position:

Umbilical port for Camera

Two 5 mm ports at 5cm away from umbilicus on either side

Accessory port at right or left iliac region according to need



Port Position for LAVH

Surgical tasks:

Creation of pneumoperitoneum

Diagnostic laparoscopy: Pelvic side wall, ant. and Post cul-de-sac

Elevation of uterus by the help of uterine manipulator

Dissection

Bipolar forceps used for LAVH

Coagulation and section of the round ligament and adnexa.

Dissection of the bladder

Opening of anterior / posterior vaginal fornix.

Vaginal procedure

Successive clamping of uterosacrals and uterines from below.

Inspection of the pedicles laparoscopically

The upper pedicle - broad ligament are taken laparoscopically with the utero-sacral ligaments and uterine arteries taken vaginally with the uterus being removed vaginally. A very large fibroid uterus should be debulked by morcellation for removal vaginally. Can be combined with laparoscopic adnexal surgery e.g. ovariectomy or adhesiolysis. Definite improved post-operative course over abdominal hysterectomy.

